

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,372	07/03/2003	Aryan Saed	ICE-015	4826	
7590 09/21/2004			EXAMINER		
Patent Admini	strator	MOTTOLA, STEVEN J			
Testa, Hurwitz & High Street Tow	& Thibeault, LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
125 High Street		2817			
Boston, MA 02110			DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	AL			
		10/613,37	2	SAED ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Steven J. N		2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with t	the correspondence addi	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. days, a reply within the statu tony period will apply and will ill, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply story minimum of thirty (30 I expire SIX (6) MONTHS ication to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this com DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.			
Status	1780 canadoxe of							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>[1-6-03.</u>						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 Claim(s) 34-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 34-39,45-48,52,53,58,60-62 and 64-69 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 40-44,49,51,54-57,59 and 63 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have bee locuments have bee of the priority documental Inal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in App ents have been re e 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National S	Stage			
Attachmei	nt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) X Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pī mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1©06 Q</u> 3 405 o3 d4			rmal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,372

Art Unit: 2817

Claim 69 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedant basis for "said update means" of line 1 or "said determining means" of line 2 of the claim. The claim is rendered indefinite because there is nothing in the parent claim that may be interpreted as these elements.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 34-39, 45-48, 52-53, 58 and 65-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leizerovich et al.

Refer to fig. 6 of Leizerovich et al. Treating claims 34-36 first, the feedback circuit 33 of Leizerovich et al. may be read as the adaptive predistortion subsystem claimed as it receives an input signal (lin, Qin) and predistorts it via modulator 21. The amplifier stage 67 may be read as the signal processing subsystem claimed, including a splitter 72 that decomposes the predistorted signal, amplifiers 74,76 that separately process the components and combiner 78 to recombine them. The predistorting applied by modulator 21 is adjusted based on the output fed back from coupler 7. Regarding claim 37 the Doherty power amplifier of Leizerovich et al. is a nonlinear amplifier. Regarding claim 38, the device of Leizerovich et al. is for an RF communications system.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,372 Page 3

Art Unit: 2817

would inherently be due to it. Regarding claim 52, the signal fed back from coupler 7 may be read as the replica claimed, and regarding claims 53 and 67 subtractors 17,18 will cause the predistorted signal to depend on the difference between the input and output signals. Regarding the method claims, in re claim 45 Leizerovich et al. receive an input signal lin,Qin and apply a predistortion to it via modulator 21; the predistorted signal is decomposed by splitter 72 and combined by combiner 78. The predistortion is adjusted in accordance with the output fed back from coupler 7. In re claim 46 the output will be an RF modulated version of the input. In re claims 47-48 amplifiers 74,76 separately process and of course amplify the components. In re claim 58, note phase adjuster 31. Regarding claim 65-66 and 68, the predistortion will depend on both the input and output signals (via coupler 7) as noted above.

Claims 60-62 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jeckeln et al.

The applicant is familiar with this reference. Refer to fig. 1. Regarding claim 60, the predistorter 2 includes (see detail fig. 4) look up tables 3,4 (note in re claim 62) that may be read as the determining means claimed, while the converter 19 and multiplier 20 may be read as the adjustment means claimed. The look up tables are updated by RTM circuit 12 which may be read as the update means claimed. In re claim 61, the converter 19 and multiplier 20 receive signals from the look up tables as shown in detail fig. 4. Regarding claim 64, RTM circuit 12 receives both the input and a replica of the output via coupler 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,372 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

Claims 40-44,49-51,54-57,59 and 63 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Mottola whose telephone number is 571-272-1766. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven J. Mottola Primary Examina